

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

le

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/769,968	01/26/2001	Katsushi Sato	275739US6	5245	
22850 7590 01/17/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA			BONSHOCK	, DENNIS G	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2173		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/17/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	<u>·</u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	_	09/769,968	SATO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
	-	Dennis G. Bonshock	2173		
	he MAILING DATE of this communication app				
Period for R			·		
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. God for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ja</u>	nuary 2008.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
CIO	esed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition	of Claims				
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a)	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
· <u> </u>	aim(s) is/are allowed.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	aim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.				
· <u>—</u>	aim(s) is/are objected to.	. alaatian manuinamant			
6) <u> </u>	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application	Papers				
9)∐ The	e specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.			
10)∏ The	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.		
	plicant may not request that any objection to the o				
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
11) The	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)			-(d) or (f).		
_	Certified copies of the priority documents		an Na		
	Certified copies of the priority documents		·		
ა. [Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	id III this National Stage		
* See	the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.		
Attachment(s)		». □ · · · · · ·	(070,440)		
	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
3) Information	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application		

Final Rejection

Response to Amendment

- 1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed on record in the file: Amendment as received on 1-9-2008.
- Claims 1-28 have been examined.

Status of Claims:

- 3. Claims 1-3, 7-9, 13-15, and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matoba et al., Patent # 6,392,669, hereinafter Matoba, Pietropaolo el al., Patent # 6,351,765, hereinafter Pietropaolo, Mizuno, Patent 3 6,380,953, and Nakajima, Patent Number: 5,410,369.
- 4. Claims 4, 5, 10, 11, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matoba, Pietropaolo, Mizuno, Nakajima, and Protheroe et al., Patent # 6,414,686, hereinafter Protheroe.
- 5. Claims 6, 12, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matoba, Pietropaolo, Mizuno, Nakajima, and Crow et al., Patent # 6,538,665, hereinafter Crow.

Claims 26-28 have been cancelled by the applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

09/769,968

Art Unit: 2173

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 7-9, 13-15, and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matoba et al., Patent # 6,392,669, hereinafter Matoba, Pietropaolo el al., Patent # 6,351,765, hereinafter Pietropaolo, Mizuno, Patent 3 6,380,953, and Nakajima, Patent Number: 5,410,369.

7. With regard to claims 1, 7, and 13, Matoba teaches a reservation registration apparatus, method, and storage medium (see column 2, line 41), that combines a reservation subject icon (see column 3, line 41), a means for recording the start time of a piece of media (see column 3, line 28), and elements being controlled by defined start times in the timeline (see column 3, lines 28-41 and figure 6). Matoba however doesn't teach a time based display area, where in when an icon is moved into the display area, the display area displays the corresponding time division, and a selection means for receiving input media for the arbitrary reservation subject, and input media having at least one component, said selection means operating to select and mix formats of the at least one component of the media.

Pietropaolo teaches a media editing system similar to that of Matoba, but also teaches the use of a time based display area (see column 11, line 55), the functionality of being able to move icons into this display area (see figure 9 and column 11, line 52), a receiving of input media form the reservation subject, the input having at least one component (video) (see column 1, lines 5-12, and column 2, lines 4-10), the system receiving media of different formats (see column 1, lines 5-12 and column 2, lines 4-10

and lines 35-43) and controlling the beginning and ending times based on the users placement of the media in the timeline (see column 11, line 52 through column 12, line 21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Matoba and Pietropaolo before him at the time the invention was made to modify the schedule management system of Matoba to include the time based display and the functionality of dragging icons into the display area, the interface for receiving media components, possibly of different formats of Pietropaolo. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because the use of a time based display for importing icons provides the user with a simple means to import media in one of a plurality formats where they can keep track of when the specific media will be played. Matoba and Pietropaolo teach systems for setting up scheduling on a time based computer display (supra), but don't specifically teach controlling the power supply to the scheduling apparatus.

Mizuno teaches an apparatus for displaying a Gantt chart displaying scheduling information (see column 1, lines 64-67 and column 2, lines 17-27), similar to that of Matoba and Pietropaolo, but further teaches a control mechanism for controlling a power supply (see column 10, lines 30-39). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Matoba, Pietropaolo, and Mizuno before him at the time the invention was made to modify the scheduling systems of Matoba and Pietropaolo to include a power supply control, as did Mizuno. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because power supplies, used in electrical systems, such as those used in Matoba, Pietropaolo, and Mizuno, allow for

power to be applied to a system when needed and taken away from a system when not needed, in order to conserve power. Matoba, Pietropaolo, and Mizuno, however don't specifically teach a process control mechanism that is always in operation to control the power supply to turn on when the starting time set in the reservation setting information is reached and to control the performance.

Nakajima teaches a system for use in setting up reservation registration for program content in a television broadcasting apparatus (see column 1, lines 7-23 and column 7, lines 14-24), similar to that of Matoba, Pietropaolo, and Mizuno, but further teaches a CPU that continually check (even in power OFF state) the reservation start times against the current time in order to turn the device power ON upon the current time reaching the reservation time and then further operable to control the reception of content (see column 7, lines 56-66, column 8, lines 50-54, and in column 14, lines 5-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Matoba, Pietropaolo, Mizuno, and Nakajima before him at the time the invention was made to modify the reservation time visualization system of Matoba, Pietropaolo, and Mizuno to include the power control based upon reservation start time of Nakajima. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because this allows for minimal power consumption while still being available to address scheduled reservations.

8. With regard to claims 2, 8, and 14, Matoba further teaches the said recorded media being program executable (see column 7, line 30).

Application/Control Number:

09/769,968 Art Unit: 2173

- 9. With regard to claims 3, 9, and 15, Matoba further teaches the detection of the first end, corresponding to a program starting time and the second end, corresponding to a program ending time (see figure 1 and column 3, line 28), and reservation being preformed based on these values (see column 3, line 35).
- 10. With regard to claim 19, which teaches the at least one component being an audio component, Pietropaolo teaches, in column 2, lines 4-10, the media editor being an video and/or audio editor.
- 11. With regard to claim 20, which teaches the at least one component being an video component, Pietropaolo teaches, in column 2, lines 4-10, the media editor being an video and/or audio editor.
- 12. With regard to claim 21, which teaches the formats of the at least one component includes an analog format, Pietropaolo teaches, in column 1, lines 5-12, the system receiving both analog and digital video.
- 13. With regard to claim 22, which teaches the formats of the at least one component includes an digital format, Pietropaolo teaches, in column 1, lines 5-12, the system receiving both analog and digital video.
- 14. With regard to claim 23, which teaches the formats of the at least one component includes an optical format, Pietropaolo teaches, in column 1, lines 5-12, the system receiving both analog and digital video, which could obviously have come from a optical source.
- 15. With regard to claim 24, which teaches the reservation subject playing a disc drive. Pietropaolo teaches, in column 6, lines 30-37 and in figures 3 and 4, the user

importing audio and video information via an import screen which is capable of accessing media both locally and remotely over a network, where figure 3 shows a computer tower having a disc drive.

- 16. With regard to claim 25, which teaches the reservation subject receiving a signal from a radio, Pietropaolo teaches, in column 6, lines 30-37 and in figures 3 and 4, the user importing audio and video information via an import screen which is capable of accessing media both locally and remotely over a network, where receiving audio information via a radio signal it obvious.
- 17. Claims 4, 5, 10, 11, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matoba, Pietropaolo, Mizuno, Nakajima, and Protheroe et al., Patent # 6,414,686, hereinafter Protheroe. Matoba, Pietropaolo, Mizuno, and Nakajima teach the schedule management system as rejected above in claims 1-3, 7-9, and 13-15. They however fail to teach the ability to move whole reservations around on the display screen, or to move one end of a reservation (clipping). Protheroe teaches a multimedia editing system similar to that of Matoba, Pietropaolo, Mizuno, and Nakajima, but further teaches the ability to move whole reservations around on the display screen (see column 6, line 40), and she also teaches the process of clipping (see column 6, line 43). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Matoba, Pietropaolo, Mizuno, Nakajima, and Protheroe before him at the time the invention was made to modify the scheduling management system of Matoba, Pietropaolo, Mizuno, and Nakajima to include the said editing functionality of Protheroe.

09/769,968

Art Unit: 2173

One would have been motivated to make such a combination because importing and exporting a piece of media anytime you need to change it's location or properties would be superfluous.

18. Claims 6, 12, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matoba, Pietropaolo, Mizuno, Nakajima, and Crow et al., Patent # 6,538,665, hereinafter Crow. Matoba, Pietropaolo, Mizuno, and Nakajima teach the schedule management system as rejected above in claims 1-3, 7-9, and 13-15. They however fail to teach the ability to drag media into a trash bin for deletion. Crow teaches a media presentation scheme similar to that of Matoba, Pietropaolo, Mizuno, and Nakajima, but further teaches the ability to drag pieces of media into a trash bin (see column 9, line 50). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Matoba, Pietropaolo, Mizuno, Nakajima, and Crow before him at the time the invention was made to modify the schedule management system of Matoba, Pietropaolo, Mizuno, and Nakajima to include the trash removal system of Crow. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because this form of deleting items has become a standard interface in most operating systems today.

Response to Arguments

19. The arguments filed on 1-9-2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Reasons set forth below.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7, and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis G. Bonshock whose telephone number is (571) 272-4047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 6:30 a.m. - 4:00 p.m.

Application/Control Number:

09/769,968 Art Unit: 2173

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (571) 272-4048. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

1-10-08 dgb

/Kieu D. Vu/ Kieu D. Vu Primary Examiner